

Docket No.: 0171-1273PUS1
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Kazumichi UOTANI et al.

Application No.: 10/579,731

Confirmation No.: 8869

Filed: May 17, 2006

Art Unit: 1654

For: Sialogogue, oral composition and fool
product containing the same

Examiner: Audet, M.A.

REPLY TO PTO-90 OFFICE COMMUNICATION

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Communication mailed May 2, 2008, Applicants provide the following comments.

The Examiner is without authority to issue such a communication. On June 25, 2007, Applicants submitted a proper response to a Non-Final Office Action that is entitled to full search and consideration. In accordance with MPEP § 710.02(b), a shortened statutory period of one (1) month is properly issued in the following circumstances:

(A) Requirement for restriction or election of species only (no action on the merits) MPEP § 809.02(a) and § 817.

(B) When a reply by an applicant for a nonfinal Office action is *bona fide* but includes an inadvertent omission, the examiner may set a 1 month (not less than 30 days) shortened statutory time period to correct the omission MPEP § 710.01 and § 714.03.

Neither of the aforementioned circumstances is applicable to the response filed on June 25, 2007 or the subsequent Reply to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment filed on December 5,

2007. Accordingly, the Examiner's outstanding communication is without basis. Moreover, Applicants respectfully submit that the issues raised by the Examiner could and should have been made within the context of an Office Action.

For the foregoing reasons, the Examiner is requested to fully consider the response and issue an Office Action considering the merits of the claims. If the Examiner persists in this requirement, Applicants preserve their rights to file a petition to the Commissioner.

The present submission is being timely filed within thirty (30) days of the mailing date of the Notice. Accordingly, no extension of time fees should be due.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Monique T. Cole, Reg. No. 60,154 at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: June 2, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By _____
Gerald M. Murphy, Jr.
Registration No.: 28,977
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP
8110 Gatehouse Road
Suite 100 East
P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747
(703) 205-8000
Attorney for Applicant